Docket No.: YOR920030257US1 (00280744AA)

Application for United States Patent

Declaration and Power of Attorney

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

BEST INDICATOR ADAPTIVE FORECASTING METHOD

(check one)	×	is attached hereto					
		was filed on Application Serial No	o as				
		and was amended on	(if a	pplicable)			
includir		v state that I have revie ims, as amended by an			the above identifi	ed specificat	ion,
accorda		wledge the duty to disc Title 37, Code of Fede			to the examination	of this appli	cation in
applicat	tion(s) for	v claim foreign priority r patent or inventor's co entor's certificate havin	ertificate listed be	elow and have also	identified below	any foreign a	pplication aimed:
Prior Fo	oreign Ap	plication(s)				Priori	ty Claimed
None							
(Numbe	er)	(Co	ountry)	(Day/Mont	h/Year Filed)	yes	no
United a cknow which o	elow and, States app ledge the	claim the benefit und insofar as the subject plication in the manner duty to disclose mater between the filing date	matter of each of r provided by the rial information a	the claims of this first paragraph of defined in Title	application is not Title 35, United S 37, Code of Federa	disclosed in tates Code, §	the prior 112, I s, §1.56(a)
(Applic							

Power of Attorney: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint Richard M. Ludwin, Reg. No. 33,010, Kevin M. Jordan, Reg. No. 40,277, Christopher A. Hughes, Reg. No. 26,914, John E. Hoel, Reg. No. 26,279, Joseph C. Redmond, Jr., Reg. No. 18,753, Douglas W. Cameron, Reg. No. 31,596, Wan Ye Cheung, Reg. No. 42,410, Thu Ann Dang, Reg. No. 41,544, Louis P. Herzberg, Reg. No. 41,500, Derek S. Jennings, Reg. No. 41,473, Stephen C. Kaufman, Reg. No. 29,551, Daniel P. Morris, Reg. No. 32,053, Alison D. Mortinger, Reg. No. 39,306, Louis J. Percello, Reg. No. 33,206, Robert M. Trepp, Reg. No. 25,933, Gail H. Zarick, Reg. No. 43,303, and Rafael Perez-Pineiro, Reg. No. 46,041, as attorneys and/or agents to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. All correspondence should be directed to Whitham, Curtis & Christofferson, PC, 11491 Sunset Hills Road, Suite 340, Reston, Virginia 20190. Telephone calls should be directed to Whitham, Curtis & Christofferson, PC at (703) 778-9400. *Please associate this application with customer number 30743*.

Docket No.: YOR920030257US1 (00280744AA)

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

(1) Inventor:

Roger Yen-Luen Tsai

Signature:

Residence: 2773 Evergreen Street, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598

Citizenship:

US

Post Office Address: Same As Residence

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a):

(a) A duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office rests on the inventor, on each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application and on every other individual who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application. All such individuals have a duty to disclose to the Office information they are aware of which is material to the examination of the application. Such information is material where there is substantial likelihood that a reasonable examiner would consider it important in deciding whether to allow the application to issue as a patent. The duty is commensurate with the degree of involvement in the preparation or prosecution of the application.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and (1) it establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability; or (2) it refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (ii) asserting an argument of patentability.